



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,001	06/01/2001	Richard G. Moore	CDR00007	3124

7590 11/17/2005
Paul A. Roberts, Esq.
WORLD COM, INC.
1133 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

HAVAN, THU THAO

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3624

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/873,001

Applicant(s)

MOORE ET AL.

Examiner

Thu Thao Havan

Art Unit

3624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 29-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 29-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/05, 10/10/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Detailed Action

Drawings

The Examiner accepts the drawings filed on September 4, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims **29-50** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by O'Neil et al. (US 6,226,364).

Re claims **29, 42, and 49**, O'Neil teaches a system for accessing prepaid services information (fig. 1), comprising:

a web portal configured to present information relating to a plurality of prepaid services offered by a plurality of prepaid service providers (col. 1, lines 17-18; col. 9, lines 29-41; figs. ; O'Neil teaches an Internet station for receiving prepaid telephone service information in relation to a plurality of prepaid service providers); and

a database configured to store a plurality of user profiles, each user profile specifying user account information corresponding one or more of the prepaid services, wherein the

Art Unit: 3624

web portal is further configured to transmit the information relating to the prepaid services for presentation to one of the users according to the respective one of the user profiles (col. 4, lines 49-65; col. 16, lines 1-20; figs. 2-4). In other words, O'Neil discloses prepaid telephone service in that the telephone service provider may obtain an alternate payment source, such a credit or debit bank account, to be charged when the balance in an account exceeds an established credit limit. His system consists of database containing user account information.

Re claim 30, O'Neil teaches web portal is configured to support offering any one of the prepaid services to any one of the users (col. 16, lines 21-39).

Re claim 31, O'Neil teaches web portal is maintained by a system operator having a customer that is one of the users (figs. 5 and 7).

Re claim 32, O'Neil teaches another system operator has a customer that is one of the users, the other system operator compensating the system operator for use of the web portal, the other system operator being one of a wholesaler, an online retailer, a system developer, or an Internet Service Provider (ISP) (col. 8, lines 29-44; figs. 5 and 7).

Re claim 33, O'Neil teaches web portal is configured to provide the users with real-time management of accounts for the prepaid services (col. 6, lines 11-28).

Re claim 34, O'Neil teaches users are each assigned a unique identifier for accessing the web portal (col. 14, line 46 to col. 15, line 18).

Re claim 35, O'Neil teaches unique identifier includes a Personal Identification Number (PIN) (col. 15, lines 63-67). O'Neil discloses the user validates a PIN.

Re claims 36 and 43, O'Neil teaches information relating to the prepaid services includes payment information (col. 9, lines 43-58).

Re claims **37** and **44**, O'Neil teaches web portal is further configured to initiate a payment verification process for the prepaid services (col. 9, line 43 to col. 10, line 8).

Re claims **38** and **45**, O'Neil teaches plurality of prepaid services includes one of prepaid calling card, prepaid Internet access, prepaid telephony, prepaid paging, prepaid cellular, prepaid cable television, prepaid travel, entertainment tickets, prepaid utilities, prepaid Internet hosting, prepaid gasoline, or prepaid heating oil (abstract and title).

Re claims **39** and **46**, O'Neil teaches web portal presents the plurality of prepaid services as a prepaid bundled-service package (col. 2, line 54 to col. 3, line 3).

Re claims **40** and **47**, O'Neil teaches a transaction conducted by the one user via the web portal is verified by fraud detection system (col. 4, line 49 to col. 5, line 14). O'Neil discloses fraud detection system by requiring real-time user verification before providing telephone services.

Re claims **41** and **48**, O'Neil teaches web portal provides usage information for the prepaid services, and supports purchase of additional units of the prepaid services (col. 16, lines 1-20).

Re claim **50**, O'Neil teaches web interface is operated by a system operator and the first provider and the second provider are customers of the system operator (col. 8, lines 19-43; col. 16, lines 21-39).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Vallamani, US 2002/0161676

Toader et al., US patent no. 5,749,075

Mallick et al, US 2005/0147225

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct-uspto.gov/>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH
11/12/2005



HANI M. KAZIMI
PRIMARY EXAMINER